| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | and Conditions for the "LCTELNUM" field on the EU form for further clarification. This Observation can be closed.   |                                    |
| 12 | KPMG has received standard error messages when adding TNs that were reserved using the ADR/TN Reservation form to hunting sequences                               | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 01/11/00: Issued 01/14/00: Although BA has already addressed this issue satisfactorily, KPMG is publishing this Observation. This Observation can be closed.  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 12.doc |
| 13 | KPMG observed that the process regarding xDSL migration scenarios in the BA-North Order Business Rules v 1.7 is insufficient                                      | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>01/11/00: Issued</li> <li>01/14/00: BA stated that after further analysis and clarification of this Observation with KPMG BA will send out a CLEC change notification. KPMG could clarify on the call that the observation is not referring to a partial migration, but a resale xDSL migration as a loop. BA will provide an update on the Observation by 01/21/00.</li> <li>01/21/00: BA stated that migrations are currently not permitted. A change of the Business Rules would be necessary to solve the issue. BA will send out a CLEC notification on the change. BA will invite the xDSL manager to elaborate or the issue on the Observation Status call on 01/28/00.</li> <li>01/28/00: BA announced a Business Rule change noting that migrations are not permitted. Following the Change Control process BA is going to send out a change notification within the next 2 to 6 weeks.</li> <li>02/11/00: BA has sent Flash CR#1285 on 02/10/00 giving a satisfactory solution for the issue. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> |                                    |
| 14 | KPMG observed an inconsistency between the published documentation outlining the billing help desk process and Bell Atlantic's actual billing help desk practice. | Closed | Discussion completed    | 01/18/00: Issued 01/21/00: Issue A BA corrected the Billing Helpdesk Minutes from the interview on December 9, 1999. With this, the issue has been resolved and can be closed. Issue B BA agreed that the inquiry phone number included on the bills is not the correct "help-call" number. BA stated that the inquiry phone number on the bills is going to be   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 14.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason        | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|----------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                      | corrected. The Observation status will remain deferred until the change is in place in the CLEC Handbook, Volume 1, 8.1 Contact List, located on Bell Atlantic's web-site.  02/04/00: Issue B BA stated that the inquiry phone number on the bills has been corrected. KPMG will verify this change on 02/11/00.  02/18/00: Issue B KPMG revised its statement from 02/04/00 and will verify the change by 02/25/00.  02/25/00: Issue B KPMG has been able to verify that the inquiry phone number on the bills has been changed. This Observation can be closed.   |                                    |
| 15 | KPMG experienced a discrepancy regarding Bell Atlantic's timely sending of bills. | Closed | Discussion completed | 01/25/00: Issued 01/28/00: Issue 15.1 BA agreed. BA is supposed to send bills via mail within 10 business days of their respective billing dates. With this understanding, KPMG received bills later than expected. The receipt of bills by KPMG was delayed, however, as a result of BA having incorrect billing addresses. BA stated that the addresses have been corrected and future bills will be sent within ten business days of their respective bill dates. Issue 15.2 BA is supposed to send bills via NDM or CD-ROM within 10 business days of their respective billing dates. BA stated that resale bills were only sent via CD-ROM prior to establishment of the NDM connection. After this connection was established, resale bills would only be sent via NDM. All bills expected via NDM, including CABS bills, have been accounted for, though some were late. The status of this Issue remains deferred pending the timely receipt of February bills.  03/10/00: Issue 15.1 Subsequent bills have been received by KPMG in a timely manner. This issue can be closed. | MA<br>Observation<br>report 15.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | Issue 15.2 KPMG verified the timely receipt of the subsequent bills.  This Observation can be closed.  |                                    |
| 16 | The process for completing work in the TISOC is not consistently documented.  | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 02/01/00: Issued 02/04/00: BA disagreed. BA sees KPMG's issue based in a misunderstanding in a TISOC interview with BA. The status of this Observation remains deferred until KPMG can confirm that the process for completing work in the TISOC is consistently documented. 02/18/00: The M&P documentation received from BA describes the processes required to complete the processing of an order. The process description includes product intervals, business rules, step-by-step instructions, screen shots of the system, and references to other documentation. This Observation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 16.doc |
| 17 | KPMG noticed a discrepancy between the CRIS contract rate for USOC "ULB" and the rate that appears on the CSR.                          | Closed | Discussion completed    | <ul> <li>02/08/00: Issued</li> <li>02/11/00: BA agreed. BA expects to present a solution regarding the correction of the rate by 02/18/00. The status of this Observation will remain deferred until Bell Atlantic's correction of the rate can be verified.</li> <li>02/18/00: BA explained that the correction of this issue is not as simple as expected and requires further analysis. BA expects to give the next update on 02/25/00.</li> <li>02/25/00: BA stated that the correction of the rate will be implemented by 03/18/00.</li> <li>03/28/00: KPMG will not be able to verify the correction until April. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that it has not been able to verify the correction yet.</li> <li>04/28/00: KPMG has been able to verify the correction. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> | MA<br>Observation<br>report 17.doc |
| 18 | KPMG noticed a change in format between the November and December Loop Summary paper bills. KPMG does not have documentation to explain | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 02/08/00: Issued 02/11/00: BA disagreed. BA believes that the billing help desk can address questions CLECs have regarding these types of issues. In addition, BA feels that this issue does not affect the charges on the bill or the ability of the CLEC to  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 18.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
|    | the reason for the change.  |        |                         | validate the bill. 02/15/00: KPMG agrees that BA's Helpdesk provides a platform for the CLECs to clarify specific bill format questions. This Observation can be closed.  |                      |
| 19 | The information provided in the CLEC Handbook regarding publishing the Standard Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck on the web-site is incorrect. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | <ul> <li>02/08/00: Issued</li> <li>02/11/00: BA agreed. BA explained that this Test Deck was sent to the CLEC community as part of the Change control emails. BA further stated that the standard Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck for MA as well as for the other states under its jurisdiction would be made available on the TIS web-site by the 02/16/2000.</li> <li>02/18/00: BA has posted the correct information on the TIS website, but not at the location it should be. The issue remains deferred until the location has been changed.</li> <li>02/25/00: BA stated that the location of the correct information on the TIS web-site will be changed by the end of March.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that it has not been able to verify the changes on the TIS web-site yet.</li> <li>04/11/00: KPMG has verified that the location of the correct information on the TIS web-site has been changed. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> | report 19.doc        |
| 20 | A disparity exists between retail and wholesale provisioning.   | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 02/08/00: Issued 02/11/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that mobile phones are being used to either contact BA or to contact customer. BA stated that every wholesale technician carries a "butt- set" to access dial tone or to contact BA. The wholesale organization distributes mobile phones to technicians on an "as of need" basis. Various communication methods are being used by wholesale. BA feels that the difference in communication methods between resale and wholesale does not impact parity. Further, BA explained that SSTs do not use IBM laptops to access BA's systems. SSTs use handheld terminals instead. These terminals are used by both resale and wholesale technicians, and, if they do not have it, the technicians call the dispatch centers in either Tauten or  |                      |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | Lowell.  02/15/00: KPMG agrees to amend this Observation to say "any terminal with the ability to close orders." The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion on the disparity issues.  03/21/00: KPMG received data from BA that supports the parity of wholesale and resale provisioning. This Observation can be closed.   |                                    |
| 21 | KPMG has identified the hours of operation for the Bell Atlantic System Support Help Desk as published in the CLEC/Resale Handbook to be incorrect. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | <ul> <li>02/15/00: Issued</li> <li>02/18/00: BA agreed. The information published in the CLEC/Resale Handbook is incorrect. BA will send out a notification regarding the correction by 03/01/00 via email. The new version of the Handbook will be released by the end of March.</li> <li>02/29/00: BA sent an Informational Message: Help Desk Hours of Operation that states that the hours of operation for the BA System Support Help Desk reflected in the CLEC Handbook are incorrect.</li> <li>03/10/00: KPMG explained that the status of this Observation remains deferred until the new version of the Handbook has been released and the correction of the information published has been verified.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that it has not been able to verify the correction of the information published in the CLEC Handbook on the TIS web-site yet.</li> <li>04/11/00: The corrected CLEC Handbook version has been posted. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> | MA<br>Observation<br>report 21.doc |
| 22 | Certain USOC rates cannot be validated in either CLEC contract rates or DTE tariffs.  | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 02/15/00: Issued 02/18/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that the rates for POR1X and POR2X could be found in FCC tariff #11, Section 31.13.13. KPMG confirmed that "service provider number portability," as described in FCC #11, refers to the same charge as BA's "telephone number portability cost recovery surcharge" for USOCs POR1X and POR2X. The appropriate monthly rate per line as outlined in this FCC tariff is \$0.23. This Observation can   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 22.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | be closed.  |                                    |
| 23 | The 'Type of Access' field found in Category 11 records contains an undefined value.   | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>02/15/00: Issued</li> <li>02/18/00: BA agreed. The cause for the error has been identified as a program problem. KPMG will verify the changes with its DUF test after 02/23/00.</li> <li>03/10/00: KPMG deferred the closure of this Observation until the change could be verified.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that the DUF usage re-test has been completed. The test results are currently being analyzed by KPMG.</li> <li>05/12/00: KPMG has been able to verify that the field is no longer populated with an undefined value. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul>  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 23.doc |
| 24 | KPMG has been receiving extraneous usage records in certain NDM files.   | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>02/15/00: Issued</li> <li>02/18/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that all accounts currently billed are KPMG accounts. The first six accounts listed in the table in the Observation report are an internal testing error. KPMG will research this issue further and give feedback on 02/25/00.</li> <li>02/25/00: KPMG concurs with BA's assessment. After further research, it was determined that the last nine accounts listed in the table in the Observation report belong to KPMG. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul>   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 24.doc |
| 25 | The information provided in the CLEC Handbook regarding publishing the 'specific test scenarios' on the web-site is incorrect. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 02/15/00: Issued 02/18/00: BA agreed that the current wording in section 4.5.1 [4th paragraph] CLEC Handbook, Volume II (September release) is incorrect. BA will re-phrase this paragraph in their March 2000 release of the CLEC Handbook series.  BA confirmed that the "specific test scenarios" will be sent to the CLEC's via BA Change Control e-mail only when the new release offers a different functionality which cannot be tested based on the scenarios presented in the standard Quality Baseline Validation Test Deck. They will also be posted on the web-site.  04/07/00: KPMG stated that it has not been able to verify the | MA<br>Observation<br>report 25.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | corrections on the TIS web-site yet.  04/11/00: KPMG has verified that the information provided in the CLEC Handbook regarding publishing the 'specific test scenarios' has been corrected on the web-site. This Observation can be closed.   |                      |
| 26 | KPMG is receiving duplicate usage records.  | Closed | Discussion<br>Complete  | <ul> <li>02/22/00: Issued</li> <li>02/25/00: BA is trying to identify the cause for the receipt of duplicate usage records. BA expects to give an update of this Observation by 03/03/00.</li> <li>03/03/00: BA agreed. BA explained that these credit records have been issued against the original call, and have mistakenly been classified as code 10. BA is going to fix this error. The code fix is scheduled on 03/03/00, the implementation on 03/06/00.</li> <li>03/07/00: KPMG concurs with Bell Atlantic's assessment and will test and verify the code fix during the DUF re-test. This Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that the DUF usage re-test has been completed. The test results are currently being analyzed by KPMG.</li> <li>05/12/00: BA received additional DUF records that contribute to the clarification of this Issue and is investigating the problem The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>05/19/00: KPMG has finished analyzing the DUF re-test, and the results are satisfactory. Therefore, this observation is closed.</li> </ul> |                      |
| 27 | KPMG is receiving Header and<br>Trailer Records with an<br>undefined value in the<br>'Directional Indicator' field. | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 02/22/00: Issued 02/25/00: BA is investigating the error. If a code change is require to correct the undefined value in the 'Directional Indicator' field, a change notification will be send by 03/03/00. 03/03/00: BA has completed its analysis and has found that no cod change is required. BA stated that indicator 4 is now used to determine ownership offline. 03/07/00: KPMG agrees with BA's response. However, Bell Atlantic's population of the 'Directional Indicator' with a   | report 27.doc        |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents    |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | 7 remains incorrect. If Bell Atlantic will not correct to issue then Bell Atlantic should not put a value in the 'Directional Indicator' field and populate this field with 0 instead. This observation remains deferred.  03/10/00: KPMG has been able to validate BA's response based documentation that BA provided on the correct population of the field. This Observation can be closs   | h a                     |
| 28 | Bell Atlantic did not adhere to the prescribed processes, intervals, and procedures for notifying CLECs about a recommended upgrade to PGP version 6.5.1. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 02/22/00: Issued 02/25/00: BA stated that this Observation requires further BA internal discussion. BA explained that PGP is a third party vendor software upgrade for Y2K that was described to have "no CLEC impact." BA will send issue through the Change Management process. Furthermore, any process and procedure documentati related to this issue will be available by 04/01/00 and controlled through the Change Management process. 03/10/00: KPMG is investigating this issue and will be able to gan update on the conditional closure of this Observati by 03/16/00. 04/14/00: BA has indicated that the PGP software upgrade is optional to the CLEC. It is new functionality that is backwards compatible to older versions. KPMG acceptable to the condition of the closed. | his<br>on<br>ive<br>on  |
| 29 | KPMG is not receiving all 030101 credit records.  | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | <ul> <li>02/29/00: Issued</li> <li>03/03/00: BA explained that this Observation is directly related MA Observation #26. The code fix for MA Observation #26 will by default result in a correction of the issue in this Observation.</li> <li>03/07/00: KPMG concurs with BA's assessment and will test a verify the code fix during the DUF re-test. This Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that the DUF usage re-test has been completed. The test results are currently being analytic by KPMG.</li> <li>05/05/00: A set of 03 records (credit request) were found to be incomplete in the re-test. KPMG is going to send a</li> </ul>   | tion report 29.doc<br>n |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   |   | Additional<br>Documents            |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | defer<br>05/19/00: KPM<br>Obser<br>06/09/00: BA<br>07/07/00: This<br>anno | ification via email to BA. This Observation remains erred.  MG is awaiting a response from BA. This ervation remains deferred.  announced 06/30/00 release fix.  s Observation has been closed based on the ounced release fix. However, this fix has not been fied. KPMG does not plan a verification of this fix.   |                                    |
| 30 | KPMG is receiving 110125 records with incomplete information.  | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | prov<br>redu<br>03/07/00: KPM<br>infor<br>woul                            | disagreed. BA explained that the information vided in the 'Destination Field' would present undant information in the record.  MG agrees with BA's assessment. The absence of rmation in the 'Destination Field' on 110125 records ald not adversely affect end-user billing. This servation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 30.doc |
| 31 | Charges related to Other<br>Charges and Credits on the<br>February M40 bill are<br>incorrectly prorated. | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | of ch<br>CAE<br>rema<br>03/10/00: KPM                                     | disagreed. BA explained that the 31 <sup>st</sup> day in prorating harges is never counted according to the internal BS reference guide 4.2, p.1. This Observation ains deferred for further investigation.  MG agrees that BA prorated the charges correctly uning a 30 day month. This Observation can be   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 31.doc |
| 32 | KPMG noticed that Customer<br>Service Records are missing<br>from the January 31, 2000 resale<br>bill.   | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | prob<br>bills<br>Billi<br>of th<br>bills<br>03/21/00: KPM                 | agreed. This Observation is tied to production olem that was fixed on 02/29/00. Corrected January is will be resent thereafter. KPMG will receive a ing help-desk call for further clarification. The status he Observation remains deferred until the corrected is have been received and reviewed.  MG has received and reviewed the corrected bills and been able to verify the fix. This Observation can be | MA<br>Observation<br>report 32.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional                         |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 33 | KPMG observed that certain resale bill data records for "Other Charges and Credits" are not in line with the CABS Billing Output Specifications. | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>02/29/00: Issued</li> <li>03/03/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/10/0</li> <li>03/10/00: BA agreed. This Observation is tied to production problem that was fixed on 02/29/00. Corrected Janua bills will be resent thereafter. KPMG will receive a Billing help-desk call for further clarification. The state of the Observation remains deferred until the corrected bills have been received and reviewed.</li> <li>03/21/00: KPMG has received and reviewed the corrected bills a has been able to verify the fix. This Observation can closed.</li> </ul> | tus<br>i                           |
| 34 | The CSR information for one of KPMG's resale bills is incomplete.  | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 02/29/00: Issued 03/03/00: BA agreed. This Observation is tied to a production testing problem that was fixed on 02/29/00. The corrected January bills will be resent. Additionally, KPMG will receive a Billing help-desk call for further clarification. The status of the Observation remains deferred until the corrected bills have been received a reviewed.  03/21/00: KPMG has received and reviewed the corrected bills a has been able to verify the fix. This Observation can closed.   | nd<br>nd                           |
| 35 | KPMG is experiencing inconsistent data on it's 100119 records.   | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 02/29/00: Issued 03/03/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/10/03/10/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that for "Call Trace" type calls, resale usage resembles retail usage. The number that was traced does not appear in these cases. For Ul customers, the traced number is provided at the switch and provided on usage records. KPMG agrees. This Observation can be closed.  | e .<br>NE                          |
| 36 | KPMG is receiving rated records (010101) for calls made from KPMG-owned Resale lines.  | Closed | Discussion completed    | 02/29/00: Issued 03/03/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/10/  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 36.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents               |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | <ul> <li>03/10/00: BA agreed. BA stated that a code change is required. BA is going to announce when the change will be implemented. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>03/16/00: BA stated that a code change will be implemented by 03/31/00. Usage will be effected 04/03/00. KPMG will incorporate the fix in its usage retest. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that the DUF usage re-test has been completed. The test results are currently being analyzed by KPMG.</li> <li>04/28/00: Based on the DUF usage re-test results the code change has been confirmed. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul>  |                                    |
| 37 | KPMG CLEC is receiving access records (110101) with no Carrier Identification codes.           | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>02/29/00: Issued</li> <li>03/03/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/10/00.</li> <li>03/10/00: BA agreed. BA stated that a code change is required. BA is going to announce when the change will be implemented. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>03/16/00: BA stated that a code change will be implemented by 03/31/00. Usage will be effected 04/03/00. KPMG will incorporate the fix in its usage retest. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/07/00: KPMG stated that the DUF usage re-test has been completed. The test results are currently being analyzed by KPMG.</li> <li>04/28/00: Based on the DUF usage re-test results the code change has been confirmed. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> | MA<br>Observation<br>report 37.doc |
| 38 | KPMG has received incorrect responses from Resale Private Line (RPL) orders submitted via EDI. | Closed | Discussion<br>Complete  | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA agreed. BA further explained that it needs more time to investigate this issue. BA will provide a response on 03/16/00. 03/16/00: This Observation remains deferred for further investigation of the issue by BA. 04/07/00: BA announced to develop a work-around to address this  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 38.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | Issue. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion.  04/14/00: BA announced a system correction to be implemented by 4/22/00.  04/28/00: KPMG stated that it is currently re-testing the Issue. The status of this Observation remains deferred.  05/05/00: BA announced that an EDI fix is being worked on. The status of this Observation remains deferred.  05/12/00: BA announced that a change notification is going to be sent by 05/15/00. KPMG defers the closure of this Observation pending the verification of the fix.  05/19/00: KPMG continues to retest the fix BA provided on 5/15/00. This Observation remains deferred.  06/23/00: KPMG was able to verify the fix provided by BA. Observation #100 was issued to address an outstanding inconsistency between BA Change Control and the BA North Order Business Rules v1.8.1. Therefore, this observation can be closed. |                                    |
| 39 | The Notes and Conditions of the REP field on the Local Service Confirmation form are not clear.                     | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA did not agree to the issue. BA explained that "TISOC" and "AUTO" are valid entries for non-flow through and flow-through order respectively. KPMG will provide BA with a PON to analyze this issue further. BA will deliver a response by 03/16/00. 03/16/00: This Observation remains deferred for further discussion. 03/28/00: KPMG reviewed BA's response and accepts BA's explanation that "TISOC" and "AUTO" are valid entries for the REP field. This Observation can be closed.  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 39.doc |
| 40 | On several KPMG Y40 bills a non zone specific rate rather than the expected rate zone has been applied to USOC U21. | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA agreed. BA stated that the table entry is going to be changed. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion and verification. 03/16/00: BA stated that the fix for 03/10/00 has been put into place. This change will be reflected on the KPMG CLEC April bill. The Observation remains deferred until KPMG has been able to verify the change.  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 40.doc |

| Brief Description  | Status   | Status Reason   | Notes   | Additional Documents   |
|--|--|---|---|--|
|  |  |   | 03/21/00: This Observation can be closed. KPMG has been able to verify the change on the subsequent bill.   |  |
| KPMG observed missing, unknown, incorrect and untimely charges on several bills. | Closed   | Discussion completed  | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze Issues 41.1 to 41.7. BA is going to deliver a response to this Observation on 03/16/00. 03/13/00: Issue 41.6 KPMG has been able to resolve the cause for the discrepancy in the subtotal that was associated with KPMG internal documentation. Issue 41.6 can be closed. 03/16/00: Issue 41.1 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.2 BA explained that regarding Items #1 and #2 that the USOC should have been applied but was not. BA explained further that Item #3 relates to a BA representative error. KPMG will verify BA's response. This Issue remains deferred. Issue 41.3 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.4 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.5 BA stated that late payment charges less than \$5 do not appear on a bill. Issue 41.7 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Ussue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analy | MA<br>Observation<br>report 41.doc   |
|  | KPMG observed missing, unknown, incorrect and untimely | KPMG observed missing, Closed unknown, incorrect and untimely | KPMG observed missing, Closed Discussion unknown, incorrect and untimely completed  | Discussion completed of 23/10/00: This Observation can be closed. KPMG has been able to verify the change on the subsequent bill.  KPMG observed missing, unknown, incorrect and untimely charges on several bills.  Closed of 23/10/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze Issues 41.1 to 41.7. BA is going to deliver a response to this Observation on 03/16/00.  Issue 41.6 KPMG has been able to resolve the cause for the discrepancy in the subtotal that was associated with KPMG internal documentation. Issue 41.6 can be closed. 03/16/00:  Issue 41.1 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.2 BA explained further that Item #3 relates to a BA representative error. KPMG will verify BA's response. This Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.3 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.5 BA stated that late payment charges less than \$5 do not appear on a bill.  Issue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. 03/21/00: Addendum #1 issue.  Issue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. O3/21/00: Addendum #1 issue.  Issue 41.8 BA explained that the payment charges less than \$5 do not appear on a bill.  Issue 41.8 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. O3/21/00: Addendum #1 issue.  Issue 41.8 BA explained that the charge is not applicable to the class of service on these lines. KPMG has been able to validate BA's explanation. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.8 BA disagreed. BA stated that taxe are not included in the calculations. Late payment charges are not |

| ID       | Brief Description | Status | Status Reason | Notes  | Additional |
|----------|-------------------|--------|---------------|--|------------|
| <u> </u> |                   |        |               |  | Documents  |
| ID       | Brief Description | Status | Status Reason | The status of this Issue remains deferred for further discussion.  Issue 41.7 BA disagreed. The effective date of the tariff was in January. However, the order was placed in December. Therefore, the applicable tariff is the December tariff. KPMG investigates this Issue. The status of this Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.8 BA disagreed. BA explained that billing was not activated until 01/13/00 with BCN dated 12/21/00, because the order did not complete until 01/13/00. The status of this Issue remains deferred for further discussion.  Issue 41.9 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.10 BA disagreed. BA explained that the charge was for the service of providing CRIS magnetic tapes. KPMG accepts BA's explanation. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.11 BA disagreed. BA explained that the charge is not applicable under the KPMG/BA interconnection agreement. KPMG accepts this explanation. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.12 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. Issue 41.13 BA explained it needs more time to analyze this Issue. O3/28/00: Addendum #2 issued.  03/38/00: Addendum #2 issued.  03/31/00: BA deferred its response to Addendum #2 for further investigation of the issues.  04/07/00: Issue 41.1 BA agreed. The status of this Issue remains deferred. Issue 41.3 BA explained that this Issue has been fixed in December and can be verified with the January bills. KPMG is going to investigate the Issue. The status of this Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.5 KPMG is still considering BA's response from 03/24/00. |            |
|          |                   |        |               | The status of this Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.7 KPMG accepts BA's explanation. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.8 The status of this Issue remains deferred for further  |            |
|          |                   |        |               | investigation.  Issue 41.9 BA disagrees. BA explained that the methodology that  |            |

| ID | Brief Description | Status | Status Reason | Notes  | Additional Documents |
|----|-------------------|--------|---------------|--|----------------------|
|    |                   |        |               | KPMG employed for the calculation of its charges is incorrect. KPMG stated that this methodology was provided to KPMG by BA help desk representatives. KPMG will recalculate the charges given the new method. The status of this Issue remains deferred for further discussion.  Issue 41.12 BA disagreed. BA stated that this charge was correctly applied. KPMG will revisit the calculation. The status of this Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.13 BA disagreed. BA explained that CSRs are run prior to the bill, and thus pending orders may complete in between when the CSR is run and the bill is run, causing the difference in amount between the CSR account total and monthly access charges. KPMG will consider this explanation. The status of this Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.14 BA stated that usage is collected for 31 days although the "from" and "through" dates end on the 30 <sup>th</sup> of the month. This Issue remains deferred for further discussion.  Issue 41.15 BA disagreed that the usage charges were incorrect. BA will further investigate. This Issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.16 BA disagreed, explaining that there were incorrect rates in Items 1 and 2. BA provided references in the tariff to the correct rates. Items 3 and 4 were operator handled calls that were incorrectly charged. This Issue remains deferred for further discussion.  Issue 41.17 BA disagreed. BA explained that all charges were applied to the new number, not the old number as specified in the Observation. KPMG will investigate the Issue. The status of this Issue remains deferred.  04/14/00:  Issue 41.5 KPMG assisted the selevative and accord that the tare | 1                    |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.12 KPMG revisited the calculation and agreed that the credit was provided on the bill. The bill is in BOS BDT format. KPMG reviewed the BDT file and verify that the  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | correct charges were billed. This Issue can be closed. Issue 41.14 KPMG has accepted BA's explanation. This Issue can  |                      |

| ID | Brief Description | Status | Status Reason | Notes  | Additional Documents |
|----|-------------------|--------|---------------|--|----------------------|
|    |                   |        |               | be closed.   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 04/28/00:  | }                    |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.2 Items #1 and #2 have been retested and validated by       |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | KPMG on April 04/06/00. This Issue can be closed.                    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.8 KPMG has been able to determine that it has been          |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | charged correctly according to BA's explanation. This                |                      |
|    |                   |        | }             | Issue can be closed.   | ļ                    |
|    |                   |        |               | 05/05/00:  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.9 KPMG stated that this Issue could not be resolved yet.    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | BA is going to send further explanation via email to                 |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | KPMG. The Issue remains deferred.                                    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.15 BA is going to send the applicable records for the       |                      |
|    |                   |        | !             | associated calls. This Issue remains deferred.                       |                      |
|    |                   |        | j             | Issue 41.16 Items 3 and 4 have not been resolved yet under this      |                      |
|    |                   |        | ļ             | Issue. Therefore, it remains deferred.                               |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.1 BA announced the fix will be implemented in 10/00. The    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | status of this Issue remains deferred.                               |                      |
|    |                   | 1      |               | Issue 41.3 KPMG is retesting this Issue to ensure that the charges   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | are correctly applied. The status remains deferred.                  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.9 This Issue has been re-tested and resolved. Therefore, it |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | can be closed.   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.13 KPMG has been unable to find orders in the time period   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | identified by BA. Therefore, this Issue remains deferred.            |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.15 KPMG validated that the records were received and the    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | usage charges were correct. Therefore, this Issue can be             |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | closed.  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.16 BA announced that this Issue (Items 3 and 4) will be     |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | corrected by 06/16/00. The status of this Issue remains              |                      |
| ĺ  |                   |        |               | deferred.  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.17 KPMG verified that the charges were associated with      |                      |
| ļ  |                   |        |               | the right TN. Therefore, this Issue can be closed.                   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 05/19/00:  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.3 KPMG continues to investigate this Issue. The status      |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | remains deferred.  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 41.13 KPMG received additional documentation from BA           |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | identifying the problem as the double-discounting of                 |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | several USOCs. KPMG continues to investigate this                    |                      |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents               |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | Issue. The status remains deferred.  05/30/00:  Issue 41.1 A fix will be implemented in October (initiative #362472). The status of this issue remains deferred.  Issue 41.3 KPMG has been able to verify the fix. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.13 KPMG has been able to verify that the correct rates were applied in the OC+C section of the same bill prior to issuing the bill. This Issue can be closed.  Issue 41.16 The status of this Issue remains deferred.  07/07/00:  Issue 41.1 This Issue has been closed based on the announced fix. This fix has not been verified. KPMG does not plan a verification of this fix.  Issue 41.16 This Issue has been closed based on the announced correction. This correction has not been verified. KPMG does not plan a verification of this fix. |                                    |
| 42 | KPMG observed an unexpected and not communicated process change with regard to referencing Customer Service Records (CSR).                 | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | <ul> <li>03/07/00: Issued</li> <li>03/10/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/16/00.</li> <li>03/16/00: BA agrees. The process change was effective as of 02/15/00. The March bills should reflect the change. The status of the Observation remains deferred until the March bills have been received and reviewed by KPMG.</li> <li>04/07/00: Since the March bills have not been received and reviewed yet, the status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/24/00: KPMG has confirmed the receipt of the March bills and has been able to verify the change. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul>  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 42.doc |
| 43 | Several Service Order numbers<br>and Purchase Order Numbers on<br>KPMG's bills do not match<br>those that appear on Completion<br>Notices. | Closed | Discussion<br>Complete  | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: Issue 43.1 KPMG verified that Items No. 9 and No. 10 have been addressed in the New York OSS Evaluation. BA's response with regard to those Items was found satisfactory. KPMG stated that, therefore, this issue is  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 43.doc |

| ID | Brief Description | Status | Status Reason | Notes   | Additional Documents |
|----|-------------------|--------|---------------|---|----------------------|
|    |                   |        |               | not valid with regard to Items No. 9 and No. 10.                    |                      |
|    | 1                 |        |               | For Items No. 1 to No. 5, BA stated that the service order          | 1                    |
|    |                   |        |               | correction suffix is already included. KPMG asked for               |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | the relevant documentation and BA will provide the                  |                      |
|    |                   | :      |               | reference by 03/16/00. The discussion of Items No. 6                |                      |
|    | İ                 | ĺ      |               | through No. 8 has been deferred since BA needs more                 | 1                    |
|    |                   |        |               | time for further investigation on these discrepancies. The          |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | status of this Observation remains deferred.                        |                      |
|    | j                 |        |               | Issue 43.2 BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this     | ]                    |
|    |                   |        |               | problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/16/00.              |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 03/16/00:   |                      |
|    |                   | ]      |               | Issue 43.1 BA stated that it needs more time for its investigation. |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | This Issue remains deferred.  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.2 BA agreed. The Issue remains deferred.                   | İ                    |
|    |                   |        |               | 03/24/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.2 BA announced that a system fix will be implemented on    |                      |
|    |                   | ĺ      |               | 03/31/00. The status of this Issue remains deferred for             |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | the verification of this statement.                                 | 1                    |
|    |                   | İ      |               | 04/07/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        | ļ             | Issue 43.2 KPMG stated that the system fix has not yet been         | 1                    |
|    |                   |        |               | implemented. The status of this Issue remains deferred.             |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 04/14/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.1 KPMG has considered and accepted BA's response with      |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | regard to all Items under this Issue. This Issue can be             |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | closed.   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 05/05/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.2 KPMG has been able to verify that this Issue has been    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | fixed on the Y-40 bill. BA stated that the Loop Summary             |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | was fixed on 4/31/00. The Issue remains deferred for                |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | further verification.   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 05/19/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.2 KPMG continues its analysis of this Issue. The status    |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | remains deferred.   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | 06/02/00:   |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | Issue 43.2 KPMG has received the 4/30 loop bill. However, there     |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | was no activity during this billing period. KPMG is still           |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | working with BA on receiving a bill that will have                  |                      |
|    |                   |        |               | activity so that the fix can be verified. The status remains        |                      |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional<br>Documents            |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | deferred.  06/16/00: Issuc 43.2 KPMG was able to verify the fix implemented by BA on a loop bill associated with another KPMG CLEC.  Therefore, this Observation can be closed.  |                                    |
| 44 | KPMG is missing a Field Identifier Description (FID) on one of its bills.              | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/16/00. 03/16/00: BA disagreed. The Issue remains deferred. 03/21/00: KPMG agrees with BA's response that the Field Identifier Description can be found in other sources. This Observation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 44.doc |
| 45 | KPMG is receiving UNE-P usage records with incomplete information.                     | Closed | Discussion<br>completed | <ul> <li>03/07/00: Issued</li> <li>03/10/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Obscrvation on 03/16/00.</li> <li>03/16/00: BA disagreed. BA gave the following explanation for originating access records: If the call is operator handled then the 'To Number' is not populated. BA gave the following explanation for Terminating access records: The 'from number' may or may not be populated depending on the transport equipment. The Issue remains deferred for further investigation by KPMG.</li> <li>03/31/00: KPMG agreed. The presence of CIC code in the 110101 and 110120 records provides sufficient information to allow UNE calls to be rated as InterLATA/IntraLATA toll messages. Since InterLATA/IntraLATA toll messages are not distinguished separately on the UNE bill, the CLEC is able to reconcile the UNE bill despite missing To/From Numbers in the UNE messages. This Observation can be closed.</li> </ul> | MA<br>Observation<br>report 45.doc |
| 46 | KPMG is receiving 100119 records with an undefined value in the 'Type of Class' field. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/07/00: Issued 03/10/00: BA disagreed. BA stated that the value is defined in code O95 in ATIS (web-site). The status of this Observation remains deferred until KPMG has received and reviewed documentation to verify the validity of the O95 value for  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 46.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|    |   |        |                         | the "Type of Class" field.  03/16/00: The Issue remains deferred until KPMG will be able to verify the documentation on the ATIS web-site.  03/21/00: After further research and examination of OBF documentation pertaining to issue #2049 (Type of CLASS field = 095), KPMG agrees with Bell Atlantic's previous responses that value '095' is valid for the 'Type of CLASS' field. This Issue can be closed.   |                                    |
| 47 | KPMG was not billed for third party and collect calls made by KPMG's end users. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/13/00: Issued 03/16/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/24/00. 03/24/00: BA announced that it needs more time to analyze this Observation. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 04/07/00: BA disagreed. BA explained that the specified calls were "0-" calls and therefore "0+COC" charges are not applicable. KPMG will consider BA's response. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 04/28/00: KPMG has accepted BA's response and verified that these calls were operator handled. This Observation can be closed. | MA<br>Observation<br>report 47.doc |
| 48 | A charge on KPMG's M40 bill is incorrectly prorated.                            | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/13/00: Issued 03/16/00: BA explained that the incorrectly prorated charge was caused by an incorrect EBD date entered by a BA billing representative. The proration would be correct if the effective bill date (EBD) was correct. 03/28/00: KPMG has been able to validate that the billing system correctly prorated the charges given the EBD date. This Observation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 48.doc |
| 49 | KPMG was incorrectly charged for service order activity on a business account.  | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/13/00: Issued 03/16/00: BA explained that it needs more time to analyze this problem. BA will address this Observation on 03/24/00. 03/24/00: BA disagreed BA stated that this is a residence account. KPMG disagreed. This account should have been provisioned as a business account. KPMG is going to   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 49.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents       |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------|
|    |  |        |                         | provide the documentation to substantiate the order. status of this Observation remains deferred for furthe discussion.  04/07/00: KPMG accepts BA's explanation that the charges are correct, since the account was provisioned as a reside account. This Observation can be closed.  | The r                      |
| 50 | KPMG observed that the file names of certain billing files sent via NDM by Bell Atlantic differ from the agreed upon file naming convention. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | <ul> <li>03/21/00: Issued</li> <li>03/24/00: BA agreed. BA stated that training took place in Jan to fix this problem. The status of this Observation remains deferred for the verification of the correction</li> <li>04/07/00: BA agreed to KPMG's concern that this problem has been fixed by the training that took place in January. BA is investigating additional steps. However, BA commented that only "very few" customers request billing files via NDM. The status of this Observation remains deferred.</li> <li>04/14/00: KPMG requested an official statement in writing fro BA on its plan to implement additional steps that additional steps</li></ul> | report 50.doc not m ress   |
| 51 | A service order on KPMG's Y40 bill appears to be incorrectly prorated.   | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/21/00: Issued 03/24/00: BA agreed. BA explained that this Observation is ba on a BA representative's error. An EBD of '11300' assigned for the "out" activity. And an EBD '11400' assigned for the "in" activity. KPMG is investigating explanation. The status of the Observation remains deferred. 04/07/00: KPMG is still investigating this Issue. 04/14/00: KPMG has accepted BA's response. This Observation be closed.   | was report 51.doc was this |
| 52 | KPMG observed that the "called   | Closed | Discussion              | 03/21/00: Issued   | MA                         |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason             | Notes  | Additional<br>Documents            |
|----|---|--------|---------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
|    | from city" and "called from<br>state" are not populated in the 10<br>36 04 50 record of the Billing<br>Output Specifications (BOS-<br>BDT) format on several resale<br>bills. |        | Completed                 | 03/24/00: BA disagreed. BA stated that there is no information provided for direct dialed calls under 10360450. The record is a discretionary record.  03/28/00: KPMG accepts this explanation. This Observation can be closed.  | Observation report 52.doc          |
| 53 | The validity of certain charges could not be substantiated.   | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed   | 03/21/00: Issued 03/24/00: BA explained that it needs more time to assess the Issues. 04/07/00: Issue 53.1 BA agreed. BA explained that the charge was incorrect due to a representative error. The status of this Issue remains deferred. Issue 53.2 BA stated that it needs more time to analyze this Issue. This Issue remains deferred. 04/14/00: Issue 53.1 KPMG has accepted BA's explanation. This Issue can be closed. Issue 53.2 BA explained that it does not offer suspensions on CENTREX accounts. BA offered a 'work-around' as a short-term solution to the Issue. BA explained that an edit has been implemented in program to prevent these types of errors from processing. The status of this Issue remains deferred for consideration of BA's response. 04/28/00: Issue 53.2 KPMG has accepted BA's response. This Observation can be closed. | MA<br>Observation<br>report 53.doc |
| 54 | The rates displayed on KPMG's Administrative bill appear to be incorrect.   | Closed | Verification<br>post Test | 03/21/00: Issued 03/24/00: BA agreed. The rate displayed is truncated. BA is going to announce a date for the fix. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/12/00: BA communicated that a fix will be implemented in 10/00. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 07/07/00: This Observation has been closed based on the announced fix. This fix has not been verified. KPMG does not plan a verification of this fix.  | MA<br>Observation<br>report 54.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason           | Notes   | Additional Documents               |
|----|--|--------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| 55 | KPMG has observed several areas of Bell Atlantic non-compliance with industry change control policies.                                   | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: KPMG received BA's detailed response to this Observation. A status update will be given after this response has been reviewed. 04/07/00: KPMG has not completed its analysis of BA's response yet. This Observation remains deferred. 04/14/00: BA will be holding a workshop on notifications where KPMG will attend to address flow through change requests. BA is going to investigate the response to Exception ID 6 from the New York trial. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 05/05/00: KPMG is awaiting the results of the Industry Change Control Meeting scheduled for 05/09/00. 05/12/00: The Industry Change Control Meeting did not provide resolutions to this Observation. The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion. 05/19/00: This Observation remains deferred. 05/30/00: BA is going to investigate potential resolutions to this Observation. 07/14/00: BA explained that all Type 4 (Bell Atlantic originated) CR's that are classified as "Flow through" items should be considered to be non-CLEC affecting as defined by the TIS Change Management Process released on July 6, 2000: "In the event that Bell Atlantic is forced to deviate from the Type 4 (Bell Atlantic Originated) process for new non-impacting interface functionality, Bell Atlantic will notify all TCs of the deviation as promptly as possible." This Observation has been closed. | MA<br>Observation<br>report 55.doc |
| 56 | KPMG has found two different<br>versions of collocation<br>application forms posted on Bell<br>Atlantic's Wholesale Markets<br>web site. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: BA stated that it needs more time to analyze the Issue. The status of this Observation remains deferred. 04/07/00: BA will remove the old version of the form. BA has not yet announced a date when the old version is being removed. This Observation remains deferred. 04/14/00: KPMG has been able to verify that the older version of the form has been removed. This Observation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 56.doc |

| ID | Brief Description   | Status | Status Reason           | Notes  | Additional Documents               |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 57 | KPMG observed missing DUF records for usage and conversation time.  | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 03/28/00: Issued 03/31/00: Issue 57.1 The status of the Issue remains deferred for further investigation on BA's side. Issue 57.2 This Issue is directly related to MA Observation #36 and will remain deferred until the DUF re-test has been conducted, and KPMG has been able to verify that the problem has been fixed. 04/07/00: Issue 57.2 The status of this Issue remains deferred pending the results of the DUF usage re-test. 04/14/00: Issue 57.1 BA explained that the files were sent to KPMG. KPMG will review the DUF files received. This Issue remains deferred. 05/05/00: Issue 57.1 KPMG found Items 1 through 4 to be correct given BA's additional information. Item 5, however, does not show DUF records for the calls that were billed. This Item was related to the typographical error. Item 6 is related to Issue 41.17 that is a migration to a different type of service. The entire Issue remains deferred. 05/12/00: Issue 57.1 Based on KPMG's analysis, this Issue can be closed. Issue 57.2 Based on the DUF usage re-test results, this Issue can be closed. | MA<br>Observation<br>report 57.doc |
| 58 | KPMG has received invalid TNs when using the Direct TN Selection Inquiry method for Pre-orders submitted via EDI. | Closed | Discussion<br>Completed | 04/04/00: Issued 04/07/00: The status of this Observation remains deferred for further discussion. 04/14/00: KPMG stated that it has re-tested the Issue. The results of the re-test have been satisfying. This Observation can be closed.   | MA<br>Observation<br>report 58.doc |

| ID | Brief Description  | Status | Status Reason          | Notes  | Additional |
|----|--|--------|------------------------|--|------------|
|    |  |        |                        |  | Documents  |
| 59 | Brief Description  KPMG is unable to process Directory Listing Service orders submitted via EDI. | Closed | Discussion<br>Complete | 04/11/00: Issued 04/14/00: Issue 59.1 BA agreed. BA explained that this Issue is related to confusion in TISOC. BA further stated that resale representatives looked at the particular orders and "mistakenly" sent queries back, not knowing that the cause for the drop out of the order was a system error. As an interim solution, BA offered a manual correction by 04/22/00. This Issue remains deferred for verification. Issue 59.2 KPMG is going to provide BA with additional information on this Issue. The status remains deferred. Issue 59.3 BA agreed. BA explained that this Issue is related to confusion in TISOC. BA further stated that resale representatives looked at the particular orders and "mistakenly" sent queries back, not knowing that the cause for the drop out of the order was a system error. As an interim solution, BA offered a manual correction by 04/22/00. This Issue remains deferred for verification.  04/28/00: Issue 59.1 KPMG stated that it is currently re-testing this Issue. The status of this Issue remains deferred. | 1 1        |
|    |  |        |                        | "mistakenly" sent queries back, not knowing that the cause for the drop out of the order was a system error. As an interim solution, BA offered a manual correction by 04/22/00. This Issue remains deferred for verification.  04/28/00: Issue 59.1 KPMG stated that it is currently re-testing this Issue. The   |            |
|    |  |        |                        | Issue 59.2 The status of this Issue will remain deferred pending the verification of the fix scheduled for 05/20/00.  05/19/00: Issue 59.2 Bell Atlantic announced that the fix for this Issue has been delayed until 06/17/00. The status of this Issue remains deferred until that time when KPMG can verify   |            |